

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,688	06/29/2006	Jose Figueras Mitjans	GAS-80	4752
	7590 07/17/2007 PCANTLLIP		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH			GARRETT, ERIKA P	
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,		•	07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	. ·•				
Office Action Summary		10/595,688	FIGUERAS MITJANS, JOSE		
	\	Examiner	Art Unit		
	\	Erika Garrett	3636		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ine 2006.			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,688 Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook (6,217,119) in view of Momose (6,244,657). Cook discloses the use of a folding arrangement in armchairs of the type comprising an articulated tilting assembly of the corresponding armrests (14,16), characterized in that the articulated assembly of each armrest is provided on a rotatory shaft (column 1 lines 40-45), on which a spring (106) is arranged with a tendency to maintain the armrest (14,16) in an upwards tilted position (figures 1 and 3). Cook fails to show the use of a articulated tilted assembly of a seat, and a drag effect in relation to tilting between a seat and armrest
- 3. Momose teaches the use of the use of articulated tilted assembly of a seat, and a drag effect in relation to tilting between a seat and armrest, see figures 2, 4 and 7.
- 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the armrest with tilting a seat, as taught by Momose, in order to be used in auditoriums or theaters.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to tilting seat: U.S Pat. No. 4702043, 5685608, 5653502.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika Garrett whose telephone number is 571-272-6859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Dunn can be reached on 571-272-6670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Erika Garrett
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3636

EG July 9, 2007